Waterpipe smoking and the risk of myocardial infarction: A hospital-based case-control study

Abdulmajeed Al-Amri¹, Khalid Ghalilah², Assaf Al-Harbi³, Sami A.R. Al-Dubai^{4,5}, Saleh Al-Ghamdi⁶, Abdulmohsen Al-Zalabani⁷

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION The prevalence of waterpipe smoking is increasing globally. The involvement of waterpipe smoking as an independent risk factor for the development of myocardial infarction is an area in need of further research. Our study examines the possible relationship between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction.

METHODS In this incident case-control study, we enrolled 148 cases with myocardial infarction and 148 participants in the control group. Using logistic regression models, odds ratios and 95% CIs were calculated for the association between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction, while adjusting for possible confounders.

RESULTS Myocardial infarction was associated significantly with current waterpipe smoking (OR=4.08; 95% CI: 1.37–12.10), ever waterpipe smoking (OR=3.6; 95% CI: 1.31–10.19), and exclusive waterpipe smoking (OR=10.26; 95% CI: 2.22–47.29). Exclusive cigarette smoking was also associated significantly with the development of myocardial infarction (OR=4.6; 95% CI: 1.98–11.04).

CONCLUSIONS Waterpipe smoking is associated with myocardial infarction. Our findings reveal the need for targeted interventions to reduce the prevalence of this globally spreading form of tobacco smoking.

AFFILIATION

 Public Health Department, Ministry of Health, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Internal Medicine Department, Al-Madinah Specialist Hospital, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Department of Dermatology, Ohud Hospital, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Joint Program of Family Medicine, Ministry of Health, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Saudi Board of Preventive Medicine, Ministry of Health, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Department of Cardiology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Center, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi

7 Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Taibah University, Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Abdulmajeed Al-Amri. Public Health Department, Ministry of Health, Unit 1, Building 6687, 42354 Al-Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. E-mail: amajeedga@hotmail.com ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5785-7791

KEYWORDS

Arabia

waterpipe smoking, myocardial infarction, shisha, hookah, risk factors

Received: 3 August 2019 Revised: 1 October 2019 Accepted: 7 November 2019

https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/114074

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2019;17(December):87

INTRODUCTION

Waterpipe smoking (also known as shisha, hookah, and narghile) is a recent, global tobacco epidemic, especially among youths¹. Factors associated with this accelerating trend have been investigated in a recent review that concluded that social acceptance, missing or weak laws, positive views toward waterpipe smoking, false beliefs about the lack of addictive potential, and ease of access, were the main contributing factors². Nevertheless, waterpipe smoking is associated with many health events. Waterpipe exposure has been linked to cancer, including cancer of the head and neck, esophageal cancer, stomach cancer, lung cancer, and bladder cancer³. Waterpipe smoking is also associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, bronchitis, metabolic syndrome, and low birth weight⁴. The relationship between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction as a distinct, independent risk factor is still in need of further research. Previous studies on waterpipe smoking have answered some of the research questions, as a challenge remains the separation of the effect of cigarette smoking alone from that of waterpipe smoking, as dual use is very common^{5,6}, while other studies, such as the study by Platt et al.7 provided interesting results with different study designs. A recent statement from the American Heart Association indicated the need for epidemiological research on the possible link between waterpipe smoking and cardiovascular diseases, especially in regions where waterpipe smoking is more prevalent⁸. Indirect evidence of a possible association is indicated by the fact that a single waterpipe smoking session is associated with more carbon monoxide and smoke exposure and similar nicotine exposure compared to smoking one cigarette⁹. In this study, we aimed to investigate the possible relationship between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction.

METHODS

Design, settings and participants

We used an incident, hospital-based non-matched case-control study design, which was performed in Al-Madinah from January 2017 to February 2018. Al-Madinah city is located in the western part of Saudi Arabia, with an estimated population of 2 million. The health care system in Saudi Arabia enables free and full access to health care services for all citizens, with the Ministry of Health serving as the major healthcare provider. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline¹⁰.

Selection of cases

Cases were recruited from Al-Madinah Cardiac Center, which is a specialized centre for patients with heart diseases. It has a capacity of 100 beds in addition to 34 beds for intensive cardiac care. It was chosen as the source of cases because it is the main centre in the region for referral and treatment of myocardial infarction patients. All available myocardial infarction cases diagnosed from January 2017 to February 2018 were assessed for eligibility. Inclusion criteria included being an incident case of myocardial infarction, a first-time diagnosis of myocardial infarction, and patients meeting the case definition. Exclusion criteria included cases of myocardial infarction with those aged <18 years, non-atherosclerotic causes of myocardial infarction, unstable angina, cases of myocardial infarction with significant chronic comorbidities (liver diseases, renal diseases, and malignancies), and cases of myocardial infarction referred from outside Al-Madinah city.

Selection of controls

Controls were recruited from the Dermatology and the Surgery departments in Ohud Hospital, which currently has a bed capacity of 261. It is considered a referral centre for the dermatology and surgery specialties, providing services for the same population covered by Al-Madinah Cardiac Center, which makes it a very suitable source of controls in this study. Inclusion criteria for the control group included patients with skin or surgical disorders not related to the exposure of interest. Examples of such disorders that have no apparent link to tobacco smoking include patients diagnosed with acne vulgaris, hair loss, abscess drainage, urticaria, pilonidal sinus, and hemorrhoids. Exclusion criteria for the control group included patients aged <18 years, patients with significant chronic comorbidities (liver diseases, renal diseases, and malignancies), history of heart diseases, history of angina, and patients referred from outside the city. In addition, patients with outcomes that have an association with tobacco smoking were excluded (e.g. inguinal hernia, appendicitis, and psoriasis).

Variables and data measurement

A structured guestionnaire and medical records were used as data sources. A phone interview was conducted for each case of myocardial infarction because most of the participants with myocardial infarction were discharged from the cardiac centre at the time of data collection. Face-to-face interviews were conducted for the participants in the control group. Data were collected by trained interviewers. Medical records were used to obtain data on height, weight, and lipid profile. Fasting blood samples were drawn from the surgical patients at admission, and from the dermatology patients at the outpatient clinic laboratory. Fasting blood samples were drawn for cases of myocardial infarction within 24 hours of admissions. The first blood measurement for lipids was considered, and any subsequent measurements were excluded to avoid any alteration in the blood lipids level due to medical interventions.

The outcome of interest was myocardial infarction. The third universal definition of myocardial infarction was used¹¹. Briefly, a diagnosis of myocardial infarction was made if an abnormal level of cardiac troponin was detected. In addition, one of the following had to be present: characteristic ECG changes, symptoms of ischemia, or echocardiographic evidence.

The exposure of interest was waterpipe smoking. Waterpipe smoking was defined according to the 'consensus statement on assessment of waterpipe smoking in epidemiological studies'12, in which a current waterpipe smoker was defined as smoking a waterpipe at least once in the past month. Ever waterpipe smoking was defined as ever engaging in a waterpipe smoking session. To examine the specific effects of waterpipe smoking, an exclusive waterpipe smoking variable was computed by excluding cigarette smokers and cigarette-waterpipe dualusers. Furthermore, an exclusive cigarette smoking and cigarette-waterpipe dual smoking variables were computed in order to compare the combined and separate effects of both forms of tobacco smoking. Current cigarette smoker was defined as smoking cigarettes in the past 12 months¹³.

Other variables included the history of medical diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and hypertension, physical activity, and obesity. Physical activity was defined as participation in moderate exercise for 150 minutes or more per week or participation in strenuous exercises for 75 minutes or more per week¹⁴. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Blood levels of cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, highdensity lipoprotein, and triglycerides were obtained for all participants from their medical records. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as a cholesterol level above 6.1 mmol/L. Hypertriglyceridemia was defined as a triglyceride level above 2.28 mmol/L. Low levels of high-density lipoprotein were defined as levels below 1.04 mmol/L. High levels of lowdensity lipoprotein were defined as levels above 2.3 mmol/L. The following variables were defined as per the INTERHEART study methodology¹³: stress at home, stress at work, financial stress, and stressful life events. Briefly, stress was defined as feeling irritable, filled with anxiety or having sleeping difficulties as a result of conditions at work or at home. Stress had four levels: none, some periods, several periods or permanent stress. Financial stress had three levels:

little or none, moderate or severe financial stress. Stressful life events include history of one or more of the following events: marital separation or divorce, loss of job or retirement, business failure, violence, major family conflict, major personal injury, death or major illness of a close family member, the death of a spouse or other major stress¹³. All participants with myocardial infarction were asked to respond to the questionnaire about their conditions prior to their myocardial infarction diagnosis.

Sample size

Based on a previous national survey¹⁵, the prevalence of waterpipe smoking in the control group was assumed to be 12.44%. A hypothetical odds ratio (OR) of 2.4 for waterpipe smoking in cases of myocardial infarction was assumed based on the literature¹⁶. With 80% power and a 5% significance level, the calculated sample size was 143 cases and 143 controls. The sample size was calculated using the formula in the method described in Kelsey et al.¹⁷.

Ethical considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The ethical committee of the Directorate of Health in Al-Madinah approved the study protocol. The participants were informed about the purpose of this study, the expected risks and benefits, and that participation was voluntary. All information obtained from the participants was treated in a confidential matter.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Chi-squared and Student's t-test were used to compare the baseline characteristics between cases and controls. Univariate and multivariable logistic regression was used to test the independent association between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction, adjusting for possible confounders. In addition to adjusting for recognized confounders such as age, sex, and cigarette smoking, we used a change-in-estimate criterion to identify possible confounders. Any variable that altered the waterpipe-myocardial infarction effect by 10% was identified as a possible confounder. The final multivariable logistic regression models were adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, cigarette smoking, high cholesterol and high low-density lipoprotein (LDL).

RESULTS

There were 148 cases in the myocardial infarction group and 148 participants in the control group. In total, 35 participants (11.8%) were current waterpipe smokers. Among these current waterpipe smokers, 25 (71.4%) were current daily smokers, while the remainder were non-daily waterpipe current smokers. The average duration of waterpipe smoking was 24 ± 2.1 years, while the average days of smoking waterpipe per month were 21 ± 1.8 days. The average sessions smoked per month were 41 ± 6 sessions. In current waterpipe at public places, 16 participants (41%) smoked waterpipe at home, and 5 participants (12.8%) smoked waterpipe at friends' homes.

Participants who developed myocardial infarction were significantly older males (mean \pm SD: 54.1 \pm 10.7 years in cases vs 41.6 \pm 15.3 years in controls, p<0.001). Cases were more likely to have a history of diabetes (58.8% vs 12.2%; p<0.001), hypertension (60.1% vs 15.5%; p<0.001), cigarette smoking (41.2% vs 18.9%; p<0.001), high cholesterol (18.9% vs 4.1%; p<0.001), high LDL (25.7% vs 6.8%; p<0.001), and low HDL (48% vs 32.4%; p=0.004) (Table 1).

In the univariate analysis, current waterpipe smoking was associated with increased odds of myocardial infarction (OR=4.7; 95% CI: 1.98-11.14). In the multivariable logistic regression model adjusted for age and sex (Model 1), current waterpipe smoking was still associated with increased odds of myocardial infarction (OR=3.03; 95% CI: 1.1-7.8). After further adjustment for the covariates (Model 2), current waterpipe smoking was associated with even higher odds of myocardial infarction (OR=4.08; 95% CI: 1.37-12.10). A similar significant association was observed for ever waterpipe smoking (OR=3.6; 95% CI: 1.31-10.19) (Table 2). Exclusive cigarette smoking and exclusive waterpipe smoking were associated significantly with the development of myocardial infarction (OR=4.6; 95% CI: 1.98-11.04 and OR=10.26; 95% CI: 2.22-47.29, respectively). On the other hand, cigarette-waterpipe dual smoking was associated with myocardial infarction (OR=2.57) but the association was not statistically significant (95% CI: 0.51-12.88) (Table 3).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of incident myocardial infarction cases and unmatched controls, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia (N=296)

Characteristics	Cases n (%)	Control n (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age (years), mean (SD)	54.1 (10.7)	41.6 (15.3)		<0.001
Gender (male)	123 (83.1)	80 (54.1)	4.18 (2.44–7.16)	<0.001
Marital status (married)	129 (87.2)	105 (70.9)	2.78 (1.52–5.05)	<0.001
Employment status (unemployed)	40 (27)	67 (45.3)	2.23 (1.37–3.63)	<0.001
Obesity	47 (31.8)	41 (27.7)	0.82 (0.5–1.38)	0.261
Cigarette smoking	61 (41.2)	28 (18.9)	3 (1.77–5.08)	<0.001
Current waterpipe smoking	28 (18.9)	7 (4.7)	4.7 (1.98–11.14)	<0.001
Hypertension	89 (60.1)	23 (15.5)	8.19 (4.71–14.25)	<0.001
Diabetes	87 (58.8)	18 (12.2)	10.3 (5.7–18.61)	<0.001
Hypercholesterolemia	28 (18.9)	6 (4.1)	5.52 (2.21–13.78)	<0.001
Low HDL	71 (48)	48 (32.4)	1.92 (1.19–3.07)	0.004
High LDL	38 (25.7)	10 (6.8)	4.76 (2.27–9.9)	<0.001
Hypertriglyceridemia	21 (14.2)	15 (10.1)	1.46 (0.72–2.97)	0.181
Education (university vs none)	32 (21.6)	56 (36.5)	0.48 (0.28–0.80)	0.003
Stress at work (permanent)	9 (6.1)	1 (0.7)	9.51 (1.19–76.1)	<0.001
Stress at home (permanent)	13 (8.8)	3 (2)	4.65 (1.29–16.69)	0.009
Financial stress (severe)	27 (18.2)	8 (5.4)	3.9 (1.71–8.91)	<0.001

SD: standard deviation, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2019;17(December):87 https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/114074 Table 2. Multivariate analysis for the association between waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia (N=296)

Variables	OR crude (95% CI)	OR adjusted* (95% CI) (Model 1)	OR adjusted** (95% Cl) (Model 2)
Current waterpipe smoking	4.7 (1.98–11.14)	3.03 (1.1–7.8)	4.08 (1.37–12.10)
Ever waterpipe smoking	4.6 (2.05–10.4)	2.82 (1.15–6.92)	3.6 (1.31–10.19)

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, LDL: low-density lipoprotein. *Adjusted for age and sex. **Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, cigarette smoking, high cholesterol and high LDL.

Table 3. Multivariate analysis for the association between exclusive cigarette smoking, exclusive waterpipe smoking and myocardial infarction, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia (N=296)

Variables	OR crude (95% CI)	OR adjusted* (95% CI)
Exclusive cigarette smoking	3.8 (2.18–6.80)	4.6 (1.98–11.04)
Exclusive waterpipe smoking	11.64 (3.33–40.63)	10.26 (2.22–47.29)
Dual smoking cigarette + waterpipe	3.49 (1.01–12.03)	2.57 (0.51–12.88)

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, LDL: low-density lipoprotein. *Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol and high LDL.

DISCUSSION

Our findings indicated that waterpipe smoking was associated significantly with the occurrence of myocardial infarction. To our knowledge, this casecontrol study is one of the earliest that specifically designed and executed an epidemiologic study to better understand the relationship between waterpipe smoking and cardiovascular diseases.

Our findings support the preliminary results of previous studies. A previous case-control study found that waterpipe smoking was associated with coronary heart disease, although the association was not statistically significant¹⁶. In another observational study conducted in Egypt among patients who underwent coronary angiography, waterpipe smoking was associated with more severe coronary artery disease compared to non-smokers, cigarette smokers, and mixed smokers. However, the results of that study were limited by the lack of effect estimates⁵. Another study in Lebanon found that in 1210 participants who underwent coronary angiography, cumulative waterpipe smoking (waterpipe-years) was associated with a more severe coronary artery stenosis compared to non-smokers. Nevertheless, waterpipe smoking status in that study did not appear to be associated with coronary artery disease⁶. In a recent similar study that was also conducted in Lebanon, waterpipe smoking was associated significantly with myocardial infarction in catheterized patients⁷. A recent crosssectional, community-based study conducted in

Qatar and Lebanon found that, compared to nonsmokers, exclusive waterpipe smoking was associated significantly with increased risk of long-term occurrence of coronary artery disease, as indicated by the presence of calcification on arterial walls, which was assessed by using multidetector computed tomography¹⁸.

The exact mechanism by which tobacco induces atherosclerosis and subsequent ischemia is not fully understood. Oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction have been proposed as a possible underlying mechanism^{19,20}. A previous study found that brachial artery flow-mediated vasodilation was significantly impaired among waterpipe smokers compared to cigarette smokers and non-smokers, which demonstrates the involvement of waterpipederived toxins in endothelial dysfunction²¹. Waterpipe smoking has been previously associated with oxidative injury²². Direct comparisons of the chemical constituents of cigarette smoke and waterpipe smoke showed that waterpipe smokers and passive waterpipe smokers are exposed to higher doses of particulate matter, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and volatile aldehydes benzene^{23,24}. Previous studies show that waterpipe smoking meets the WHO definition for nicotine dependence^{25,26}. This combination of high chemical exposure and dependence leaves waterpipe smokers at high risk for many adverse health events including myocardial infarction. Even though the pathophysiology of tobacco-induced

atherosclerosis remains largely unknown, smoking cessation continues to be effective both at the primary prevention level and in patients with established ischemic heart disease^{27,28}.

Strengths and limitations

One of the strengths of this study is the use of casecontrol study design, as most previous studies that addressed the health effects of waterpipe smoking were cross-sectional. Other strengths include using standardized questionnaires and inclusion of cases with a first-time diagnosis of myocardial infarction. To minimize the risk of recall bias, we included only incident cases of myocardial infarction. To minimize the risk of selection bias, we excluded all referred patients from outside the city in both the case and control groups. Furthermore, we selected participants in the control group with different diagnoses to decrease the effect of selecting controls with a disease that has a hidden relationship with the exposure of interest. Some limitations in this work should also be noted. First, diabetes mellitus and hypertension were coded based on patients' selfreport. Second, we had a small sample, leading to less precise estimates. Given the case-control design of this study, the association we found may or may not represent a causal relationship. In addition, the effects of unknown confounders cannot be excluded.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results indicate that waterpipe smoking is a risk factor for the development of myocardial infarction. Our findings indicate the need for a change in the laws and regulations toward waterpipe smoking and modifications in the practice of health education and smoking cessation programs. Further research, especially the use of longitudinal studies, is needed to confirm our findings. In addition, the association between waterpipe smoking and other health outcomes, such as stroke and lung cancer, deserves special attention.

REFERENCES

- Maziak W. The global epidemic of waterpipe smoking. Addict Behav. 2011;36(1-2):1-5. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2010.08.030
- 2. Momenabadi V, Hossein Kaveh M, Hashemi SY, Borhaninejad VR. Factors affecting hookah smoking trend in the society: a review article. Addict Heal.

2016;8(2):123-135.

- Mamtani R, Cheema S, Sheikh J, Al Mulla A, Lowenfels A, Maisonneuve P. Cancer risk in waterpipe smokers: a meta-analysis. Int J Public Health. 2017;62(1):73-83. doi:10.1007/s00038-016-0856-2
- Waziry R, Jawad M, Ballout RA, Al Akel, Akl EA. The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46(1):32-43. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw021
- Selim GM, Fouad H, Ezzat S. Impact of shisha smoking on the extent of coronary artery disease in patients referred for coronary angiography. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg. 2013;13(7):647-654. doi:10.5152/akd.2013.191
- Sibai AM, Tohme RA, Almedawar MM, et al. Lifetime cumulative exposure to waterpipe smoking is associated with coronary artery disease. Atherosclerosis. 2014;234(2):454-460. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.03.036
- Platt DE, Hariri E, Salameh P, et al. Association of waterpipe smoking with myocardial infarction and determinants of metabolic syndrome among catheterized patients. Inhal Toxicol. 2017;29(10):429-434. doi:10.1080/08958378.2017.1384085
- Bhatnagar A, Maziak W, Eissenberg T, et al. Water pipe (hookah) smoking and cardiovascular disease risk: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2019;139(19):e917-e936. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000671
- Eissenberg T, Shihadeh A. Waterpipe tobacco and cigarette smoking: direct comparison of toxicant exposure. Am J Prev Med. 2009;37(6):518-523. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.07.014
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche C, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85(11):867-872. doi:10.2471/BLT.07.045120
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(20):2551-2567. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehs184
- 12. Maziak W, Ben Taleb Z, Jawad M, et al. Consensus statement on assessment of waterpipe smoking in epidemiological studies. Tob Control. 2017;26:338-343. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-052958
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, ÔunpuuS, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case control study. Lancet. 2004;364(9438):937-952. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17018-9
- World Health Organization. Physical activity. https:// www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/. Accessed April 12, 2017.
- 15. Ministry of Health. Saudi Health Interview Survey Results. http://www.healthdata.org/sites/default/files/ files/Projects/KSA/Saudi-Health-Interview-Survey-

Results.pdf. Accessed May 7, 2017.

- Jabbour S, El-Roueiheb Z, Sibai AM. Nargileh (WaterPipe) smoking and incident coronary heart disease: a case-control study. Ann Epidemiol. 2003;13(8):570. doi:10.1016/S1047-2797(03)00165-0
- Kelsey JL, Whittemore AS, Evans AS, Thompson WD. Methods in observational epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.
- Chami HA, Isma'eel H, Tamim H, Adawi M, Al Kuwari M, Al Mullah A. The association of water-pipe smoking and coronary artery calcium in a communitybased sample. Chest. 2019;155(6):1217-1225. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2019.01.010
- Ambrose JA, Barua RS. The pathophysiology of cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: an update. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43(10):1731-1737. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2003.12.047
- Salahuddin S, Prabhakaran D, Roy A. Pathophysiological mechanisms of tobacco-related CVD. Glob Heart. 2012;7(2):113-120. doi:10.1016/j.gheart.2012.05.003
- Selim GM, Elia RZ, El Bohey AS, El Meniawy KA. Effect of shisha vs. cigarette smoking on endothelial function by brachial artery duplex ultrasonography: an observational study. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg. 2013:759-765. doi:10.5152/akd.2013.4499
- Wolfram RM, Chehne F, Oguogho A, Sinzinger H. Narghile (water pipe) smoking influences platelet function and (iso-)eicosanoids. Life Sci. 2003;74(1):47-53. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2003.06.020
- 23. Cobb CO, Shihadeh A, Weaver MF, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking and cigarette smoking: a direct comparison of toxicant exposure and subjective effects. Nicotine Tob Res. 2011;13(2):78-87. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntq212
- 24. Daher N, Saleh R, Jaroudi E, et al. Comparison of carcinogen, carbon monoxide, and ultrafine particle emissions from narghile waterpipe and cigarette smoking: sidestream smoke measurements and assessment of second-hand smoke emission factors. Atmos Environ. 2009;44(1):8-14. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.10.004
- 25. Aboaziza E, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: what is the evidence that it supports nicotine/tobacco dependence? Tob Control. 2015;24 Suppl. 1:i44-i53. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051910
- 26. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2011: Warning about the dangers of tobacco. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/ publications/2011/9789240687813_eng.pdf. Published 2011. Accessed March 9, 2019.
- Wilson K, Gibson N, Willan A, Cook D. Effect of smoking cessation on mortality after myocardial infarction: meta-analysis of cohort studies. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160(7):939-944. doi:10.1001/archinte.160.7.939
- 28. Office of the Surgeon General (US), Office on Smoking and Health (US). The health consequences of smoking:

a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US); 2004. http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44695/. Accessed March 22, 2019.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Mohammed Almatrafi and Mahmoud Alshanqiti for their assistance with the data collection. We also thank the continuous medical education department in Al-Madinah Cardiac Center for their help in facilitating the data collection.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

There was no source of funding for this research.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

A Al-Amri wrote the manuscript. A Al-Amri, SAR Al-Dubai and A Al-Zalabani designed the study. A Al-Zalabani supervised and edited the manuscript. K Ghalilah, S Al-Ghamdi and A Al-Harbi collected the data and drafted the article. A Al-Amri and SAR Al-Dubai analyzed the data. SAR Al-Dubai reviewed the article critically. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.